## State Comprehensive-Privacy Law Comparison
### Bills introduced 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Legislative Process</th>
<th>Statute/Bill (Hyperlinks)</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Consumer Rights</th>
<th>Business Obligations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>LAWS PASSED (TO DATE)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>CCPA</td>
<td>California Consumer Privacy Act (2019; effective Jan. 1, 2020)</td>
<td>x x x x x s 16 x x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>Proposition 24</td>
<td>California Privacy Rights Act (2020; effective Jan. 1, 2023)</td>
<td>x x x x x x x x x 16 x x x x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maine</td>
<td>LD 946</td>
<td>An Act to Protect the Privacy of Online Consumer Information (2019; effective Jul. 1, 2020)</td>
<td>x in x x x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada</td>
<td>SB 220/Ch. 603A</td>
<td>(2019; effective Oct. 1, 2019)</td>
<td>x x x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**INACTIVE**

- Arizona
  - AB 870
  - HB 249
- Illinois
  - HB 473
  - HB 1656
- Maryland
  - MD AB 870
- Massachusetts
  - MA HB 1680
- Nebraska
  - NB AB 746
- New Hampshire
  - NH AB 1236
- North Dakota
  - ND HB 1485
- South Carolina
  - SC HB 2572
- Virginia
  - VA HB 2729
- Washington
  - WA HB 1656

### In Session: all above states

**Bold - passed law**

- s - private right of action for security violations only
- in - opt-in consent requirement
- p - prohibition without consent
- u - unclear

---

1. Arizona HB 2729's right of deletion only requires deletion if one of five requirements is satisfied (rather than an absolute right with exemptions, it is a conditional right).
2. California Privacy Rights Act's right of restriction/limitation is only applicable to sensitive personal data.
3. Hawaii HB 2572 is the trimmed-down result of the comprehensive recommendations made by the HCR 225 task force; prohibits the sale of geolocation information and internet browser information without consent; updates parameters for government entity access to electronically stored data.
4. Iowa SF 2351 as amended by S-5084 - Right of Restriction online applies to the sale of data for marketing purposes; Opt-in only applies for sensitive data as defined in the Amendment; Right assessment, Purpose Limitation, Processing Limitation, and Fiduciary Duty only for custodians processing personal data of 100k or more individuals.
5. Maine LD 946 applies only to internet service providers.
6. Maryland HB 784 passed the Maryland House, but received an unfavorable report by the Senate Finance Committee; its continued progression is in doubt.
7. Maryland HB 1656 prohibits the knowledgable or wilfully disregarded disclosure of personal information of individuals under age 16 to third parties.
8. New Hampshire HB 1236 creates a general private right of action for violations of an individual's expectation of privacy in personal information shared with third-party providers of information and services.
9. New Jersey A2355 includes a right to opt in for the sale of information and the collection of information.